

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/856,323	HAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin P. Kerns.

(2) Daniel Monaco, applicants' attorney.

Status of Application: Examiner's Amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2004

Time: 9:30am EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

5, 7, and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor revisions to the abstract, specification, and claims 5 and 7 were discussed and agreed upon for further clarity. Non-elected claim 8 was cancelled. The applicants reserve the right to file a divisional application directed to the subject matter of claim 8.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kevin Kerns 12/14/04

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)